

Agile Projects in Waterfall Surroundings— Challenges and Lessons Learned

Marcus Ciolkowski marcus.ciolkowski@qaware.de





Innsbruck, 01 December 2017

QAware: We specialize in quality projects.







Experiences gained from long-running large agile IT development projects



agile fixed-price contract (annually / quarterly)



development over several years program size > 150 persons





context / interface partner not agile



peak team size > 25



1. Agile vs. Waterfall

- 2. Success factors
- 3. Future Challenges
- 4. Summary



A trend has become commodity: Everyone is agile now



Illustration: kbeis – gettyimages.de

OK, maybe at higher cost.

A trend has become commodity: Everyone is agile now



Also, I don't need to plan, because I can change everything at any time!

And I am so modern!

Great!

Bad Guy: Waterfall

- Big Design Up Front
- strict sequence of phases
- involving many stakeholders
- (... and it is historic misunderstanding as well as confusion)







supplier



But wait... not all is bad.

I love waterfall!

I know what I get, when I get it, and what I pay. I control the project.

I can plan and make commitments to my superiors.

Also, I have long time to think and plan for dependencies

There are clear gateways, roles and responsibilities

tuing with all 10 step

Illustration: 3Dmask – gettyimages.de





But wait... not all is bad.

I have a contract with Fine Print:



guaranteed scope and quality guaranteed schedule warranty

I know who to blame for problems



OK, there are CRs, and we discuss about Bug vs. CR

But wait... not all is bad.



... but if anything fails, it's everyone's fault!

(Because everyone signs off gateways)

And now I have to do agile I hate agile!

I know what I pay, but not what I get!

It's too fast! I have no control!

I don't understand dependencies,

But I am responsible!

Illustration: 3Dmask – gettyimages.de



0

The agile challenge: Combine the best of both worlds.



Experiences and Challenges: Scrum by the book does not work

- Story cards contain insufficient information
- Story points
 - bad proxy for effort
 - effort estimation in person days works better
 - person-days more transparent for planning
 - open question: effective light-weight method for estimating functionality
- Scrum poker
- Meetings with the whole team
- Difficult: Burndown charts
 - user stories are too large
 - PO unwilling to break down into smaller units

Experiences and Challenges: Roles do not work as designed

- Scrum places strong requirements on its roles (product owner, scrum master, team)
- Product owners need many skills
 - …and often don't have them
 - Planning: Project manager, business responsible, release manager, responsible for vision, long-term and detail plan, controlling
 - deliver "ready for implementation" user stories: requirements manager, IT design
- Other customer's roles lose responsibilities (architecture, build, design, etc.)
- Where is the former project manager? Product owner? Scrum master?
- Who decides when quick decisions are needed? When (team) consensus can't be achieved?



© Ja http

© James Nagy

https://www.jstechdesigns.com/Development/how-to-be-a-great-product-owner

- Daily Scrum meeting
 - quick status overview, even for large teams
- Kanban board
 - several boards: specification, implementation, defects

DoD

- includes documentation tasks
- Sprint retrospective

+ Experiences: Success Factors for agile projects

- Continuous planning
- Mini-Waterfalls per feature: Frontrunner and mini-specs
- Prioritize software quality
- Eliminate time killers
- Software-OEM
- Emphasize test automation
- One team approach

Agile vs. Waterfall Success factors Future Challenges

4. Summary



Success Factor: Continuous Planning in Levels

Crucial problem in agile projects: Missing range of sight in planning



User stories per sprint:



We do long-term plans in several levels. This is critical for long-term success in large projects.



years

12 months

3-4 months

2-5 weeks

range of sight

Although stability is low, long-term planning is critical for achieving vision and prioritizing correctly.

Short term planning: exact (person-days) Long-term planning: approximate (T-shirts)



Continuous Planning: Adapt the product vision through estimation workshops with the customer.

Workshop objectives:

- Validate product (annual) roadmap: Rough efforts and feasibility.
- Identify risks.
- Identify dependencies.

Side effects:

- Customer's discloses goal to the entire team.
- Develop mutual trust.

Procedure: Estimation on the basis of T-shirt sizes (e.g. S, M, L) with defined rough effort intervals.





QAware 22

For each release, we plan and prioritize features and maintain a mile-stone plan.



specification

Adapt sprint length to context: Don't confuse planning with deployment and IV&V

Confusion between sprints as planning units vs. deployments

- Sprint as planning unit
- commit user stories for upcoming sprint
- groom backlog for next sprints
- leads to: short sprints to keep a sprint stable and react to changes quickly

Sprints as (internal) deployment unit

- waterfall mindset:
 - tasks must be completed as committed
 - any defect found in V&V may prevent roll-out
- consequence: code freeze and high amount of testing before deployment
- leads to long sprints to reduce overhead

Success Factor: Frontrunner and Mini-Specs



A pre-conception phase defines architectural cornerstones for each project and involves stakeholders.





Story cards are insufficient: The path from complex business problem to technical solution is bumpy.

User Story

"As service consultant, I want to see the maintenance history of a vehicle, so that I can offer a better customer service"

Acceptance criteria:



solution /



Frontrunner teams clear the path for implementation. Mini-waterfalls allow long-term planning.



- Determine business requirements
- Develop solution idea
- Clarify risks: feasibility, proof of concept
- Determine dependencies

Front runners accompany implementation or even do it themselves

Recommendation:

Mini-Specs help achieve Definition of Ready.





shared responsibility involve stakeholders give some time to plan&think



Dev



DevOps / Ops

Challenge: Documentation is often neglected when prioritizing features.

Documentation needs to be part of DoD.

- User manual
- System manual / design
- Architecture / detailed design

Documentation changes mindset compared to waterfall!

- Waterfall:
 - Definition & creation of documentation up-front
 - Design is blueprint for implementation: correctness & completeness
- Agile:
 - Create documentation close to implementation
 - Incrementally update documentation per feature: Integrate mini-specs into existing documentation

In agile projects, the challenge is to incrementally grow documentation and keep it up-to-date.

Main challenges:

- How to maintain documentation / keep it up to date?
 - Integrate documentation grooming into tasks, similar to refactoring?
- What is the minimum set of documentation required?
- Eliminate documentation redundancy.
- Automate and generate from single source of specification where possible.

Success Factor: Software Quality vs. Feature Greed.



Cost of development

= work hours
x cost per hour
+ technical debt



Build a counterpart to feature greed of product owners. This reduces quality debt.

Success factor: **Don't negotiate quality!**

Systems require phases in which fewer new features are developed and the system is solidified.

Solutions:

- A quality (refactoring) backlog with ca. 10-20% of Sprint capacity.
- Quality Sprints
- A Bug Hunting Day / Quality Day per release.
- A contractually agreed-upon quality contract based on measurable KPIs.
- A comprehensive Definition of Done, part of which is the quality contract.



Foto: QAware Quality Day

Product Quality is omnipresent in the project.







Success Factor: Eliminate productivity killers.

Eliminate time consumers and productivity killers in Agile Methodologies.

Only relevant representatives for

- Backlog-Grooming
- Sprint-Planning
- Sprint Review
- Definition of Done

Develop solutions in small groups



Daily Scrum is timeboxed







Unplanned interruptions are effective productivity killers.



number of concurrent assigned tasks

Success Factor: Vertical integration depth

vertical integration depth := percentage of own code vs. code from thirdparty libraries (e.g. open source components)

Maximum speed in development through software OEM approach.

- Software-OEM means: Build software with low vertical integration depth based on Open-Source components.
- Careful selection of third-party components based on checklists:

... during research and selection:

- is this component necessary?
- is the licence compatible?

. . .

- approvement by customer needed?
- is the component maintained?
- are there known security issues?

... during integration and maintenance:

- loose or tight coupling?
- are licences included appropriately?
- migration to newer version needed?

. . .

is compliance documentation complete?

Success Factor: Test automation





Large agile projects require test automation on all levels.



assumed execution cost



number of tests

Test automation at these levels

very well suited to regression tests and reduces the effort needed for manual testing

does not relieve one of the obligation to perform manual and exploratory testing

Typically good test automation at the lower levels with all advantages.



Boundaries between companies do not matter in collaboration. What counts is joint project success.

Close collaboration & partnership. Close to the customer (co-location?)





Retrospective "Inspect and adapt"





Constructive solution-finding Courage to bear friction

Celebrate successes together



1. Agile vs. Waterfall

- 2. Success factors
- 3. Future Challenges
- 4. Summary



There is DevOps on the horizon.



There is DevOps on the horizon.



Plus, I get 24/7 support for free! And I am sooo modern! Great!

Trends: Many Buzzwords raise expectations.

New technologies and processes gain importance and visibility. This raises expectations.



Challenge: Master difficulties to create opportunities.

Peak of inflated expectations: Technologies will solve all problems. However, nothing is for free.

Example: DevOps

- Pressure from customers growing
- Expectation with DevOps: 24/7 support
- Problem: SMEs can't follow the sun
- Challenge + Opportunity: Antifragility
 - Combines techniques from AI and cloud.
 - Goal: achieve self-healing / repairing properties.
 - Benefit: reduce need for manual support.

Cloud-native applications increase design complexity: Components change along lifecycle.



- Complexity unit
- Data integrity unit
- Coherent and cohesive feature unit
- Decoupled unit

- Planning & Assignment unit
- Knowledge unit
- Development unit
- Integration unit

RUN

Ops Components



Release unit

- Deployment unit
- Runtime unit (crash, slow-down, access)
- Scaling unit



- + independent releases, deployments, teams
- + better resource utilization

- increasing integration complexity

increasing infrastructure complexity increasing troubleshooting complexity

Trend: Applications combine many complex frameworks



Big Data landscape







Cloud landscape

We use a technology radar to aid technology decisions.



Challenge: Combining powerful tools without planning or understanding is dangerous.

Complexity of technologies is rising.

Technologies used based on coolness without clear goal/value.

Agile Trap: Agile teams start using barely-understood technologies without planning.

New trends lead to:

- Combining many different products (with many defects)
- Complex, powerful tools: use with care

Challenge:

- How to do software engineering?
- How to maintain an overview?
- How to maintain intellectual control?

QAware 54

Challenge: Requirements on developers rise.



Challenge: Mixed teams without boundaries diffuse responsibility.

Teams mix across company boundaries

- across suppliers: different suppliers develop the same components
- across customer/supplier: customers are part of development team
- across skills: development, UX experts, designers, graphic artists, operations, ...
- no clear separation between contributions of different teams/companies

This raises many questions

- Co-location vs. distribution & communication?
- What happens to warranty?
- Who is responsible for quality?

Challenge: Contracting

Contracting evolves towards time & material

- Who is responsible for the outcome? Scope?
- Who is responsible for quality?
- How can emergent architectures work for such teams?

As supplier:

- How to keep quality as USP? What about customer satisfaction?
- How to distinguish your company's contribution from others?

How can we write contracts in the future?

- Is it meaningful to model teams, contribution and collaboration as components with interfaces?
- Components: teams, responsibilities; Interfaces: communication, data flow.
- If yes, do the same rules apply as for software design?

Challenge: Testing pyramid may need to be inverted



integration level

number of tests

Test automation at high levels required:

anything can change at runtime

critical: detect backend (service) failure

manual and exploratory testing are still

System behavior undefined at integration time: (Micro-) services are external and can

Takeaway Messages: Success Factors for Agile Projects

- Agile processes are often surrounded by or originate from waterfall thinking.
- Success requires embracing and involving stakeholders.
- Opportunity: Combine best of contract work and agile:
 - ability to long-term planning and commitment
 - flexibility to change and incorporate feedback

In our experience, critical for successful agile projects are:

- Courage to plan in agile mode.
- Frontrunning: keep up speed while bridging the gap between problem and solution.
- Establishing quality as counterpart to feature greed.
- Establishing light-weight formalities.
- Establishing efficient meeting structures.

QAware 59

Takeaway Messages: Future Trends

Future trends: Since everyone is agile now, we can increase speed even more

- Mixed teams: Throw people into team; they will organize themselves efficiently?
- Combination of complex, poorly understood technology will lead to great, robust systems? Challenges
- Contracting: Fixed-price contracts with warranty lose importance.
 - Future: Contracts on time and material only (Labour Leasing)?
 - Process and Contract modelling: contract/process models using components and interfaces?
- Education: Skills and interdisciplinarity gain importance.
 - Software Engineering teams need to master many more skills.
 - What are Software Engineering methods for managing fast-evolving technology zoos?
- Documentation: Incremental light-weight documentation needed.
 - How can we keep (incremental) documentation up to date?
 - Which documentation is needed?



Marcus Ciolkowski marcus.ciolkowski@qaware.de twitter.com/qaware
linkedin.com/qaware
github.com/qaware



xing.com/qaware slideshare.net/qaware youtube.com/QAwareGmbH